AF_XDP: potential to improve

Maxim Mikityanskiy

June 2019









© 2019 Mellanox Technologies







XSK RX queue

				Аг_
Incoming traffic	Steering rules	XSK RX queues	XDP program	

XSK RX queue != regular RX queue

	Regular RX queue	XSK RX queue
Memory model	Dynamic allocation	Allocation from
XSK RX	Extra copy	Zero-copy
XDP_DROP	Fast	Fast
XDP_PASS	Build XSK in place	Extra copy*
XDP_TX	Page reuse	Extra copy*
XDP_REDIRECT	Page reuse	Extra copy

*Can be potentially improved.



AF_XDP socket

Stack

UMEM

© 2019 Mellanox Technologies

XSK RX queue allocation scheme

Replacing regular RX queues by XSK ones – disadvantages:

Same index range – no way to distinguish.

- Opening an XSK breaks the regular traffic flow because of RSS.
- RSS management is not easy.
- Opening an XSK requires restarting a channel.
- XSK RX queues should be a separate queue type.
 - Own numeration.
 - Opening dedicated XSK RX queues in existing channels.
 - Allocating additional XSK RX queues.
- XSK RX queues are to be registered in the kernel.
 - Attach UMEM.
 - More on this later.





Queues or channels?

XSK is both RX and TX, but it has only a single queue index.

- Ibbpf's xsk get max queues() queries the number of combined channels. It doesn't correspond to what the kernel does.
- Everything looks like it's designed to be used with combined channels, but instead the netdev queues are used, and they don't fit well.

```
struct xdp_umem *xdp_get_umem_from_qid(struct net_device *dev,
                                       u16 queue id)
```

```
if (queue_id < dev->real_num_rx_queues)
        return dev-> rx[queue id].umem;
if (queue_id < dev->real_num_tx_queues)
        return dev-> tx[queue id].umem;
```

```
return NULL;
```

}

{

- There is a relation between RQ #X and SQ #X, so the abstraction of a combined channel is natural.
- Proposal: fix the terminology and switch to using channel ID instead of QID.





The way to register XSKs in the kernel

A combined channel in the driver consists of:

- Regular RQ and SQ.
- XDP SQs.
- XSK RQ and SQ created on demand.
- struct net device will have an array of XSK QP structs.
- UMEMs for non-zero-copy mode are to be stored in regular queues.
- XSK QPs correspond to XSK RQ and SQ of a channel in the driver.
- Unbound XSK QPs.
 - A suggestion in Magnus's RFC: https://patchwork.ozlabs.org/cover/1094083/.
 - With an XSK QP as a separate entity, it's easy to allocate new QPs on demand.
 - Dedicated NAPI.
 - Not bound to an IRQ.
 - Not bound to a channel.





Speeding up slow path





Zero-copy XDP_TX and XDP_PASS

Jonathan Lemon had a PoC patch that implements zero-copy XDP TX. The frame is put to the Reuse Ring once the TX completes.

- The issue is that the Reuse Ring can overflow.
- Keep UMEM frames in the driver options:
 - Bigger Reuse Ring with a fallback to copy.
 - Return these frames to the Return Ring in the application.
 - Return to the Completion Ring if the application supports.
- XDP PASS issue: userspace has write access to the UMEM, kernel parsers can be confused.







Return Ring





Return Ring

Example use cases:

- Return frames on shutdown.
- Return frames which are not XDP_REDIRECTed to an XSKMAP.
- Signal about the empty Fill Ring.
- Descriptor:
 - Error code.
 - Frame handle.





Corner cases

Frames are owned by the driver, but the interface goes down.

- Reuse Ring as a workaround: <u>https://patchwork.ozlabs.org/patch/962914/#1982161</u>.
- TX frames are completed without transmission and error indication.
- Lack of a common cleanup mechanism in the kernel.
- Return Ring to solve the problems.
- XDP program doesn't return XDP_REDIRECT to an XSKMAP.
 - Recycle internally.
 - Lack of a standard way.
 - Reuse Ring can be used.
 - Interferes with zero-copy XDP TX.
 - Return Ring can be used.
 - XDP_PASS is faster. Is it a real use case?
 - A roundtrip through the userspace slows things down.
 - Use the Reuse Ring while possible; on shutdown flush to the Return Ring.
- An abstraction layer over the Reuse and Return Rings.
 - Provide a common algorithm to all drivers.



© 2019 Mellanox Technologies

Corner cases

TX packet size > MTU.

- No error reporting a completion is simply issued.
 - AF_PACKET returns EMSGSIZE.
- Requires some manipulations to issue completions in order.
- TX completes with an error.
 - Driver can try to recover transparently.
 - Is it driver's responsibility?
 - Most likely, retrying will lead to the same error.
 - If the recovery is impossible, tell the application.





Corner cases

- XDP program increases the packet size over MTU. Should we pass it to AF XDP?
 - Depends on the use case.
 - Application receives a packet bigger than MTU and tries to respond with a packet that big.
 - Application implements a custom stack, which drops oversized packets.
 - Suggestion: to drop oversized packets, unless they go to AF XDP.





© 2019 Mellanox Technologies

Lack of notification mechanism

- Addressed by a recent series by Magnus: https://patchwork.ozlabs.org/cover/1115314/.
- Busy-polling on RX
 - If the application stops refilling the Fill Ring, NAPI busy polls.
- Busy-polling on TX
 - The driver doesn't guarantee that it consumes everything for transmission on sendto().
 - The application has to grind CPU with syscalls.
- Unresolved issue?
 - xdpsock in poll mode can get stuck if the TX Ring is full, and nothing is sent on the only sendto() call.





Configuration





Steering to XSK queues

API needs to be extended:
Allow to choose XSK/regular RX queue.
Allow to steer traffic to unbound XSKs.
Use tc flower instead of ethtool?





Non-ZC fallback

- If XSK queue X is requested, but the driver is non-ZC, fall back to regular RX queue X.
- Problematic with unbound XSK QPs.
- Different steering configuration for ZC and non-ZC.
 - The driver can ignore is xsk.
- XDP program works differently in the compatibility mode.
 - The configuration can be passed through a BPF map.
 - Different programs can be loaded.



RSS for XSK queues

A real use case.

- Mellanox hardware supports it.
- Lack of software interface to configure it.
- A rejected series by Edward Cree: <u>https://patchwork.ozlabs.org/cover/878725/</u>. Reimplement it with tc flower?





© 2019 Mellanox Technologies



